



11-12-04

1631
JFW

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application No. 09/871,560
of Alroy, Daniel
Filed on May 31, 2001
Art Unit 1631

November 10, 2004

EXPRESS MAIL

Title: Concepts and methods for identifying brain correlates of elementary mental states

**Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D. C.**

Attention: Ms Marianne P. Allen, Primary Examiner

Dear Ms Allen:

Following my letter to you of October 27, I tried contact the designated Examiner, Mr. Andrew Kenedy (by facsimile transmissions dated October 28, November 2, 3 and 4, and with four telephone messages). I received no response. On November 5, I brought this problem to the attention of Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Michael Woodward.

Apparently, there is an issue that calls for involvement by your office. In that event, I would be profoundly grateful if you make it possible for me to meet with an examiner.

If it is not too late to schedule a meeting for this coming week then my response to the Office Action would be submitted by November 28. Alternatively, I hope to be able to meet an examiner in time for me to respond to the Office Action by December 28, 2004.

Respectfully,

Daniel Alroy

Daniel Alroy * 19 Stanton Street, NY, NY 10002 * 212 505-0110



In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

Application No. 09/871,560
of Alroy, Daniel
Filed on May 31, 2001

FAX 1 703 872 9306
November 8, 2004
Art Unit 1631

Title: Concepts and methods for identifying brain correlates of elementary mental states

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D. C.

Attention: Mr. Michael Woodward, Supervisor

Dear Mr. Woodward:

This is a follow-up note of my November 5 fax. I would like to clarify the reason why I am asking to meet with an examiner prior to submitting my response to the first Office Action. When filing the patent application, I requested, as a *pro se* applicant, the assistance of the Patent Office in drafting one claim under MPEP 707.07(j). This has not occurred as yet, and apparently cannot take place after the response to the Office Action.

My draft response to the Office Action (a copy enclosed), consists of reformulation of the basic claim, restricting it to neuroscience, and filing during the examination period divisional applications for the molecular biology aspects of the severed matter. I believe a face-to-face meeting is the best way for me to answer any question that the examiner may have, and to receive his or her comments.

Mr. Andrew Kenedy, the initially assigned Examiner, is apparently unavailable. I seek your help in having this matter assigned to an available examiner, and in scheduling a meeting. I will telephone your office at about 3 pm this afternoon. In the event that I do not reach you, then I would very much appreciate receiving a notification of a proposed meeting date. My e-mail is **Philon@verizon.net**.

Respectfully,

D. A.

Enclosure: A copy of the draft of the Preliminary Amendment

Daniel Alroy * 19 Stanton Street, NY, NY 10002 * 212 505-0110